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Why is work funny?
The Main Argument

• Work, no matter how automated, is a fundamentally human activity

• But, most work is a very poor “fit” to the humans who actually do work
  – Many organizational problems emerge from this lack of fit

• Dynamic Work Design offers an alternate set of principles that can produce work that has better:
  – Quality, Throughput and Engagement
Four Principles for Dynamic Work Design

• Reconcile Activity and Intent
  – Did my actions meet the goal?

• Connect the Human Chain
  – Who am I doing this for?

• Structured Problem Solving
  – If the activity didn’t deliver the intent, why and what are we doing about it?

• Optimal Challenge
  – How much “gap” between activity and intent can we handle?
Simple Metaphors for Good Work Design
Why golf (and other hobbies) are Work that Works

• Constant Reconciliation of Intent and Activity
  – We know exactly why we are doing what we are doing
  – You know immediately whether you have hit a good or bad shot (lots of pattern matching)

• Connect the Human Chain
  – You know how your teammates depend on you
Why golf (and other hobbies) are Work that Works

• Structured Problem Solving
  – Opportunities to get better in structured fashion (eg lessons)

• Optimal Challenge
  – Easy to set reasonable targets for improvement
Principle #1

Constant Reconciliation of Activity and Intent

In well-designed work people know always know whether or not the work they are doing is meeting the goal

“If an employee doesn’t know where he or she stands, then managers are not doing their jobs”

---Jack Welch
Connect Activity and Intent

• In study after study, the single biggest factor relating to motivation and engagement is simply the feeling of making progress
  – In a recent study of 12,000 diary entries from managers and engineers, 76% percent of the “good days at work” were associated with just making progress. (Amabile and Kramer, 2011:83)
  – Yet only 5% of managers ranked progress as the most important source of motivation
Principle #2

Connect the Human Chain

In well-designed work people know and have regular with those who:

--supply the inputs to their work (“the suppliers”)

--receive the output of their work (“the customers”)
Connect the Human Chain

• Adam Grant, a psychologist at Wharton, ran an experiment with call center fundraisers

• Three groups read different stories before work
  – Some stories were about the benefits of the job (e.g., income)
  – Some stories were about the students who had benefitted from the funds raised (e.g., scholarships)
  – Some stories were neutral

• Fundraisers who read about those they were helping raised over twice as much as the other two groups
Connect the Human Chain
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Principle #3

Structured Problem Solving

In well-designed work people use a structured method and public method to resolve gaps between activity and intent

--Plan-Do-Check-Act
--DMAIC
Consider the following statement:

“If the card has a vowel on one side, it has an even number on the other side”

Which cards do you need to turn over to determine whether or not this statement is true?

Results:
46% said “E and 4
32% said “E”
What’s the Correct Answer?

• The rule being tested is basically:
  – “If vowel, then even number” (ie if X, then Y)

• The only way to falsify an if-then statement is to find an instance of
  – “If vowel, then odd number” (ie if X, not Y)

• Thus correct answer is: Fewer than 4% of the students got this right!
Structured Problem Solving

• The scientific method is the best approach we know to offsetting our inherent cognitive biases.

• There are many versions available for managers:
  - PDCA, DMAIC, Kepner-Tregoe, etc.
Principle #4

Optimal Challenge

In well-designed work, people fall short of their targets, thus creating learning opportunities, but also have time to tackle those gaps.
The agency says it is a victim of its own success. With commercial aircraft accidents rare -- or non-existent in some recent years -- it is difficult to use accident data to identify trends.

So the FAA has placed a greater emphasis on looking at "precursors" to accidents, such as "loss of separation" events in which aircraft come closer together than intended.

"We are covering electronically and identifying things that we never did before," said one FAA official, speaking on condition of anonymity.
Why Work Doesn’t Work (and hobbies are fun)

• We often don’t know whether or not we have done a good job
  • Our “red box” thrives on matching patterns and learning to get better

• We don’t who we are working with and for
  • People are “pro social” and like to be connected to something large than themselves

• We don’t feel like we are in control and we victims of our own pattern matching
  – And we are forced to respond to problems with quick fixes and ad hoc “work arounds”
Genomics is changing VERY rapidly

2004:
- Genome: $800 M
- Exome: $100 M

Today:
- Genome: $2500
- Exome: $800
Tying It All Together Using Visual Management
Tying it all together with visual management

The board:

-- connects activity to intent by representing each activity as a post-it
-- gives clear signals when activities are not progressing
-- enforces structured problem solving
-- facilitates optimal challenge by allowing the amount of work in the system to be clearly visualized and controlled
DEVELOPMENT GOAL

3 | KIT REDUCTION
   | REDESIGN
4 | PLATING SCALEUP
   | SEQ: 1940 samples/day
   | FF: 1056 samples/day
   | Avg: 1940 samples/day
5 | NEXTERA EXOMES
   | 50 plates/week
   | 8X MTC with 8 FF's
6 | ICE COLD RECEPTION
   | Reduce by 25%
7 | WGS SCALE UP
   | 10 plates/week
   | 3X MTC with 3 FF's
   | Reduce by 10%
8 | HiSeq X10
   | Run genomes + exomes at scale
   | 10 FCs/day avg
   | Rate: 10%
   | Automated BTP
9 | CLUSTER DENSITY
   | 90% samples within 10% target
   | Implement new liquid handling
Turnaround Time Reduced by >50%

Sequencing Prices to Broad Researchers Reduced by 30%

Greater than doubled annual budget

Increased Demand & Utilization >50%

Expected Budget

- New business

Revenue $M/Year

- 2012
- 2013
- 2014